

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20201 www.uspro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/076,486	02/19/2002	Stephen L. Casper	M4065.0479/P479	9927
24998	7590 04/03/2003			
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER	
2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526		TRAN, MICHAEL THANH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/076 486	CASPER ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael T Tran	2818				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Apyr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, rition. ss, a reply within the statutory minimum y period will apply and will expire SIX (6 by statute, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication brine ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) 🖸	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>19 February 2002</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the appl	ication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
!	6)[_] Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
	on Papers	•					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner							
f approved corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received	l.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2					
14) <u> </u>	acknowledgment is made of a claim for de	omestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
)						
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	(48) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				
JS Patent and Ti	ademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the Communications dated February 19, 2002, claims 1-56 are active in this application.

Election of Species

- 2. A telephone call was made to Thomas D'Amico on March 28, 2003 to request an oral election to the below restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) Sensing [claims 1-13 and 31-56; classified in class 365, subclass 196]; and 2) read/write circuitry [claims 14-30; classified in class 365, subclass 189.01]. These species reflect figures 7 and 8 of the present application.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument

Application/Control Number: 10/076,486 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the **cancellation** of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Conclusion

4. When responding to the Office action, Applicants are advised to provide the

Application/Control Number: 10/076,486

Art Unit: 2818

Page 4

Examiner with line and page numbers of the application and/or references cited to assist the Examiner in the prosecution of this case.

- 5. A shortened statutory period for response to this Office action is set to expire 30 days from the date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP § 710.02(b)).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael T. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4838. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00 P.M.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Michael T. Tran Art Unit 2818

April 1, 2003